

ast month we began describing the class which will act as the basis for all of the chess pieces we have at our disposal. If you remember, I also mentioned something called an abstract class. This month I'll show you why an abstract class can be helpful in defining a specialized class hierarchy, and just how one goes about defining an abstract class.

he Next Step

So far we've defined the methods we need to describe each and every chess piece on the chess board. Without writing any code, let's quickly add some of the other general functions that will be necessary to describe any chess piece. For instance, each piece has a distinct way it can move on the chess board: a knight moves one space in one direction and two spaces in the perpendicular direction. Since each of our `CChessPiece` objects has a position on the board (the `mPiecePosition` data member), we can ask a chess piece if it can move to another position. We will define another function called `ValidMove` which specifies whether or not a chess piece can move to a spot on the board based on its current position. When we ask ourselves how such a function should reply (i.e. what is its return value?), we must realize that `ValidMove` gives us a yes or no answer. Yes, I can move there. No, I can't move there. We can easily match a standard C++ data type to that kind of answer: `bool` (or `Boolean` in some compilers).

```
Boolean  
CChessPiece::ValidMove(SBoardPosition inToWhere)
```

So now we've added another function to our class. Now, on to the abstract!

Abstraction

About this abstract thing. What we've been defining so far in the `CChessPiece` class is an abstract chess piece. The functions we add are generalized to apply to any kind of piece. However, there is no "general piece" that exists in real life (yeah, a king is commander in chief, but he's still no general!). In other words, if we can't actually have a generalized chess piece in real life, why should we really be able to create one on our virtual chess board? An abstract class allows us to define the functionality of a set of child classes, but it is set up in such a way that a program cannot use the abstract base class.

Creating abstract classes depends on the use of `virtual` class functions. There are two options for the functions in a class hierarchy. Functions can be inherited by child classes as-is, or they can be inherited and re-implemented by the child class. Any function which will be inherited as-is is in its final form in the parent class; calling that method for that class and every child class uses that function. Consider our `ValidMove` method. We know that every child class will have a `ValidMove` function; however, we also know that every kind of chess piece has a different pattern to its movement. So each child class will have to define its own `ValidMove` method. In other words, we know that each child class must have a `ValidMove` method, and that the `ValidMove` function in `CChessPiece` is not the same function which the child classes will use. Thus, `ValidMove` will be defined as `virtual`.

```
class CChessPiece
{
public:
    CChessPiece();
    ~CChessPiece();

    virtual SChessPieceType GetChessPieceType();

    virtual Boolean    ValidMove(SBoardPosition inToWhere);

protected:
    SBoardPosition    mPiecePosition;
};
```

That's the first step in developing a class hierarchy: decide what functions should be inherited as-is and which will be re-implemented in subsequent classes. The `CChessPiece` definition above shows this.

Finally, in creating an abstract class, we need to make sure a program cannot use it; only subclasses of `CChessPiece` are usable. How do we accomplish this? The answer will probably make you say "duh!" In our current definition of `CChessPiece` the constructor function is marked `public`.

What if we change it to `private`? Remember, `private` members of a class can only be accessed by that class. So if the constructor is `private`, no piece of programming code can actually create an instance of that class!

The New CChessPiece

Now that we know about abstract classes, our generalized chess piece looks like this:

```
class CChessPiece
{
public:
    virtual ~CChessPiece();

    virtual SChessPieceType GetChessPieceType();

    virtual Boolean ValidMove(SBoardPosition inToWhere);

protected:
    SBoardPosition mPiecePosition;

private:
    CChessPiece();
};
```

Now that we have a "template" to the creation of our class hierarchy, we can begin to code for individual chess pieces. Next month...

Jeff Frey
jeff@applewizards.net